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What does “empathy” mean to you?
Empathy is multifaceted

- Emotional responses like concern, compassion
- Cognitive perspective-taking, imagining another point of view
- Prosocial behaviors, taking action to help others
Empathy develops over time

- Emotional contagion — Understanding others’ emotions
- Theory of mind — Imagining others’ perspectives
- Regulating emotions and social behaviors
Empathy is relevant to many STEM fields

- Engineering and design
- Biology, ecology, and conservation
- Medicine and public health
- Computer science and AI
Small Group Discussion

When and how have you noticed children expressing empathy at your museums?
What will the future look like if we give young children more opportunities to practice being empathic innovators and problem solvers?
Key ideas influencing this project:

- At its core, engineering is one way that humans design things and solve problems.
- Being able to exhibit empathy—to take the perspective of another or put yourself in their shoes—is an important facet of engineering.
- Between the ages of 4 and 6, young children are also beginning to build the foundations for exhibiting empathy.
- They are beginning to understand why another child might want a turn with a toy, or how their actions could make someone happy or sad.

What if we introduce engineering and empathy in parallel, at this very young age?
What’s engineering?

Engineering and Empathy Ideas to Hold on To

Engineers:

- Are problem solvers
- Are people who use stuff to make stuff that does stuff
- Use science and math knowledge and practices to solve problems

For...

*People and communities and animals and others*
What we heard

- **the integration of engineering and empathy** was new to teachers
- reading the lessons (for the curriculum review) and implementing the lessons (for the formative testing) helped them see the value of pairing the topics
- pre-K formative testing teachers commented that – at first – they did not understand the connection between engineering and empathy and said they were skeptical that the pairing of these topics would support student learning. They noted the PD as instrumental in building their understanding of the link between engineering and empathy
- they felt the activities resulted in children demonstrating and talking about empathy both during and outside the activities

“I like the way this lesson connects the concept of empathy with engineering and the role people and collaboration plays in finding solutions for everyone. I think it is a beautiful way to engage students and connect the science with everyday life issues.”

-Pre-K teacher

“I have done many of these same lessons with my students but I like the idea of incorporating the engineering aspect into it.”

-Kindergarten teacher
Integrating empathy

What it could’ve looked like before

- Block centers
- Materials investigations
- Parallel play
- Talking about feelings when a situation comes up
Integrating empathy

What it looks like now

- Narrative or character-based (book, video, verbal stage-setting); including who we are designing for
- Perspective taking
- Designs informed by the needs/wants/feelings of others
- Co-design with teachers
Results so far

Strengths according to teacher participants

- Curricular alignment and cross-curricular application
- Integration of engineering and empathy
- Collaborative nature of activities
- Real-world connections
- Media and sensory components
- Adaptability of lesson plans
Recommendations from teacher participants

- Vocabulary
- Collaboration
- Multi-cultural elements
- Planning components
- Adapting lessons
- Materials

Challenges

- Fine motor skills (perhaps now more than ever)
- Pairings and moving away from parallel play
Keep in touch!

- Melissa Higgins (PI)  
  higgins@bostonchildrensmuseum.org
- Michelle Cerrone (Co-PI)  
  mcerrone@edc.org
- https://bostonchildrensmuseum.org/learning-resources/engineering-and-empathy
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Our goal: Reframing engineering for gender inclusion

- Engineering education often lacks personal and social relevance
- Emphasizing the things you’re designing, not the people you are designing things for, leaves out many learners
Using narratives to support empathy and engagement

- Providing meaningful contexts for problem-solving
- Encouraging learners to imagine others’ thoughts, feelings, and intentions
Supporting empathy as a critical skill in engineering

- Professional engineers use narratives to empathize with stakeholders and imagine how designs will be used.
- Empathy is integral to engineering practice, as engineers work to address complex real-world problems.
Our questions

How can we incorporate narrative elements into engineering design activities?

How can we integrate narratives that evoke multiple facets of empathy?

What impact does this approach have on how 7- to 14-year-old girls engage with engineering?
Our process: Iterative Activity Development

- Sympathetic Characters
- Evocative Settings
- Real-world Problems

Integrating narrative elements into six traditional engineering activities
Activity Examples

**Problem Frame**

**Emergency Structures**: Build a structure to protect your group from an earthquake.

**Help The Pets**: Create a chain reaction to take care of a bored, lonely, or hungry pet.

**Help Grandma**: Design inventions to solve everyday problems for grandparents.

**Characters**

...
Activity Examples

**Settings**

**Air-Powered Vehicles:** Design a vehicle to help someone travel around the world.

**Safe Landing:** Help an astronaut or alien land safely on a planet.

**Shadow Stories:** Design shadow puppets and scenery to tell a story.

**Telling your own story**
Our process: Comparing Narrative and Non-narrative Versions

- Activities tested with and without narrative elements
- Observed and interviewed 200 girls at NYSCI
- Activities evaluated across 3 sites (NYSCI, Amazeum, Tech Interactive)
Research findings

- Girls used more engineering practices in narrative than non-narrative activities.
- When girls expressed empathy, they stayed longer and used a wider range of engineering practices.
- Empathy led to more human-centered decisions while iterating designs.

“I’m trying to make a device that would get the ball to the dog. We thought he would like a ball to play with. ... I tried using the roller skate, but the ball worked better. I wanted to the dog to be happy.”

- 8-year-old girl participating in a narrative activity
Impact of Narrative-based Activities

*Non-narrative*: Design an air-powered vehicle that can move over different surfaces.

*Narrative*: Design an air-powered vehicle to help someone travel around the world.
Evocative Settings Spark Interest
Settings Encourage Iteration
Materials Encourage Iteration
Iterations from Empathy
Lessons learned from Air-Powered Vehicles

Narratives can:

- Draw people in
- Encourage iteration
- Prompt thinking about how others feel or what they need

Key considerations:

- Light challenge framing
- Carefully select materials
The narrative overshadows or distracts from the engineering goals.

Design Principles

- Start with engineering goals.
- Reinforce narratives with materials and facilitation.
- Use narrative elements to spark learners’ own ideas.
- Provide choice in defining who to help and how.

Pitfalls

- The narrative overshadows or distracts from the engineering goals.
Implications for practice

- Design principles for integrating narratives into hands-on activities
- Facilitation tips and options for adapting activities
- Observation tools for noticing and supporting empathy and engineering learning

Link to guide in resource list
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Community & Empathy
Empathy and Our Work

• Carefully consider the needs of self and community
• Be aware of the effects of our work
• Utilize open and honest listening
Getting Started with Community Listening

• What goals/projects do we have that would benefit from community collaboration?

• Do we have the means and capacity to carry out this type of project?

• Is our organization supportive of this project?
Engaging with Empathy

• Interview and/or community conversation
  – direct interviews
  – peer to peer conversations

• Observation
  – watch people interact and struggle with what you made.
  – be open to your own failure
  – be honest with your observations

• Think aloud protocol
  – a marriage of observation and interview
  – have someone talk through their actions and thought process
Cultivating Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STANCE TOWARDS COMMUNITY</th>
<th>COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT GOALS</th>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>MESSAGE TO COMMUNITY</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>COMMUNITY OWNERSHIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IGNORE</td>
<td>Marginalization</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Your voice, needs &amp; interests do not matter</td>
<td>Deny access to decision-making processes</td>
<td>Foster democratic participation and equity through community-driven decision-making; Bridge divide between community &amp; governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFORM</td>
<td>Placation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>We will keep you informed</td>
<td>Provide the community with relevant information</td>
<td>Ensure community capacity to play a leadership role in implementation of decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSULT</td>
<td>Tokenization</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>We care what you think</td>
<td>Gather input from the community</td>
<td>Ensure community needs and assets are integrated into process &amp; inform planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INVOLVE</td>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>You are making us think, (and therefore act) differently about the issue</td>
<td>Ensure community needs and assets are integrated into process &amp; inform planning</td>
<td>Delegated Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLABORATE</td>
<td>Delegated Power</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Your leadership and expertise are critical to how we address the issue</td>
<td>Ensure community needs and assets are integrated into process &amp; inform planning</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFER TO</td>
<td>Community Ownership</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>It’s time to unlock collective power and capacity for transformative solutions</td>
<td>Ensure community capacity to play a leadership role in implementation of decisions</td>
<td>Delegated Power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Tech Student Board
- workshop
- peer to peer listening

Learnings for the future:
- Recruitment was slow because relationships with youth partners were not fully established.
Co-Designing with Community

Caregiver Listening
- peer to peer listening
- community engagement

Pain Points
- Listening was slow to start because too much time was spent finding the “right” way to engage.
What Was Learned?

Listening, actively and honestly listening.

Any relationship with your community needs to start from a point of consideration, empathy, honesty and understanding.

Don’t be afraid to just listen. Community members are happy to share their thoughts if you are open and genuine.
Thank You!

Clarissa Buettner, R&D Specialist
The Tech Interactive
cbuettner@thetech.org
Discussion Framing

• Who are *visitors* thinking about in STEM activities?
• Who are *WE* thinking about when designing STEM learning experiences?
• How can we put others’ needs and perspectives at the center?
• Is there a process at your museum that you can imagine bringing an empathy lens to?